

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/596,060	05/26/2006	Sandrine Dulac	007035.00008	1280
22908 BANNER & V	7590 05/07/2010 VITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			SAVAGE, JASON L	
SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL	.60606	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1784	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/596,060		DULAC ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JASON L. SAVAGE	1784		
	SASON E. SAVAGE	1704		

	JASON L. SAVAGE	1784				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	and the time period sectoral in 57	51 TC 4 1.57 (u).				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 204)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 4-6, 8-12, 14-16, -18-20, Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
Improvement to the service of the afficient of the service of the afficient failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
/Jennifer C. McNeil/						

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1784

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation that the fluxless brazing is suitable for use under a nitrogen atmosphere is a new limitation that would require further consideration and search.